18281. Adulteration and misbranding of butter. U. S. v. 10 Tubs of Butter. Consent decree of condemnation and forfeiture. Product released under bond. (F. & D. No. 26428. I. S. No. 30093. S. No. 4585.)

Samples of butter from the shipment herein described having been found to contain less than 80 per cent by weight of milk fat, the standard provided by the act of Congress, the Secretary of Agriculture reported the matter to the United States attorney for the Southern District of New York.

On April 8, 1931, the United States attorney filed in the District Court of the United States for the district aforesaid a libel praying seizure and condemnation of 10 tubs of butter, remaining in the original unbroken packages at New York, N. Y., alleging that the article had been shipped by the Farmers Union Cooperative Creamery Co., Oskaloosa, Iowa, on or about March 27, 1931, and had been transported from the State of Iowa into the State of New York, and charging adulteration and misbranding in violation of the food and drugs act.

It was alleged in the libel that the article was adulterated in that a product containing less than 80 per cent by weight of milk fat had been substituted for butter, a product which should contain not less than 80 per cent of milk

fat as provided by law.

Misbranding was alleged for the reason that the article was an imitation of and was offered for sale under the distinctive name of another article. Misbranding was alleged for the further reason that the article was labeled butter, which was false and misleading and deceived and misled the purchaser.

since it contained less than 80 per cent of milk fat.

On April 10, 1931, Frederic A. Rankins, jr., having appeared as agent for the Farmers Union Cooperative Creamery, Oskaloosa, Iowa, claimant, and having admitted the allegations of the libel and consented to the entry of a decree, and having agreed that the product be reconditioned so that it contain at least 80 per cent of butterfat, judgment of condemnation and forfeiture was entered, and it was ordered by the court that the product be released to the said claimant upon payment of costs and the execution of a bond in the sum of \$300, conditioned in part that it be reworked and reprocessed so that it comply with the law.

ARTHUR M. HYDE, Secretary of Agriculture.

## 18282. Adulteration and misbranding of butter. U. S. v. 8 Tubs of Butter. Consent decree of condemnation and forfeiture: Product released under bond. (F. & D. No. 26396. I. S. No. 29317. S. No. 4419.)

Samples of butter from the shipment herein described having been found to contain less than 80 per cent by weight of milk fat, the standard provided by act of Congress, the Secretary of Agriculture reported the matter to the United

States attorney for the Southern District of New York.

On March 14, 1931, the United States attorney filed in the District Court of the United States for the district aforesaid a libel praying seizure and condemnation of eight tubs of butter, remaining in the original unbroken packages at New York, N. Y., alleging that the article had been shipped by the Farmers Creamery Association, Aurora, Iowa, on or about March 9, 1931, and had been transported from the State of Iowa into the State of New York, and charging adulteration in violation of the food and drugs act.

It was alleged in the libel that the article was adulterated in that a product containing less than 80 per cent by weight of milk fat had been substituted for butter, a product which should contain not less than 80 per cent of milk

fat, as required by law.

Misbranding was alleged for the reason that the article was an imitation of or offered for sale under the distinctive name of another article; and for the further reason that it was labeled butter, which was false and misleading and deceived and misled the purchaser, since it contained less than 80 per cent

of milk fat. On March 25, 1931, John Dupont & Co., having appeared as agent for the Farmers Creamery Association, Aurora, Iowa, claimant, and admitted the allegations of the libel and consented to the entry of a decree, and having agreed that the product be reconditioned so that it contain at least 80 per cent of milk fat, judgment of condemnation and forfeiture was entered, and it was ordered by the court that the product be released to the said claimant upon payment of costs and the execution of a bond in the sum of \$250, conditioned in part that it be reworked and reprocessed so that it comply with the law.